



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/067,563	02/05/2002	Gregory M. Perkins	MATI-210US	5068
23122	7590 08/26/2005	EXAMINER		INER
RATNERPRESTIA P O BOX 980			SZYMANSKI,	THOMAS M
VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980)	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2134	

DATE MAILED: 08/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

K						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/067,563	PERKINS, GREGORY M.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Thomas Szymanski	2134				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 February 2002</u> .						
·=	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05/02/2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/05/02. 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Claims 1-18 have been examined.

Specification

- 2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 3. The applicant is requested to review the specification and update the status of all co-pending applications made mention of, replacing attorney docket numbers with current U.S. application or patent numbers when appropriate. References to U.S. applications or patents should make it clear as to what the number refers (e.g. U.S. Patent No. #), instead of listing only the number.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. Claim 12 recites the limitation "computer readable medium" in line 1. There is insufficient antecèdent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 2134

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

7. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Page 3

- 8. Claims 1-18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the disclosed invention is inoperative and therefore lacks utility. Claims 1-18 of the invention produce no tangible output the claims are merely directed to performing an algorithm composed of a method, computer readable carrier, and apparatus that as claimed produce no tangible result and since the invention as claimed lacks output of a result has no utility.
- 9. Claims 1-18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 1-6 are directed to a method that has no concrete or tangible means but is merely the recitation of a mathematical algorithm that is not stated as being part of any system that involves such means as is necessary to make such a claim statutory.
- 10. Claims 7-12 are defined as being a computer readable carrier where the applicant has defined carrier to include an audio frequency, radio-frequency or optical carrier wave (pg 16 paragraph 0065). The stated computer readable carriers do not conform to patentable statutory subject matter.
- 11. Claims 13-18 are referred to as a cryptographic apparatus that is not defined as necessarily being of a concrete and tangible means. As claimed a cryptographic apparatus comprises any apparatus for performing such an algorithm such as the thought process of a person and thus is comprised of non-statutory subject matter.

Application/Control Number: 10/067,563 Page 4

Art Unit: 2134

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

12. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 13. Claims 1, 7, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hars et al U.S. Patent No. 6,763,366.
- 14. Regarding claims 1, 7, and 13: If a condition test true combining a plurality reduction/ steps for calculating a GCD and combining a plurality of inversion calculations (Fig 1, Col 1 line 23 Col 4 line 64)

If a condition tests false performing a single set of reduction steps and performing a single inversion calculation step (Fig 1, Col 1 line 23 – Col 4 line 64)

As provided for by the cited art these calculations are performed in this same manner. Where the decision of branching within the algorithm is concerned branching upon the testing of a condition to be true or false is arbitrary since the establishment of such a condition is subjective to an individual; for example if a variable equals a certain value and tests true for equaling that correct value and branches way that is determined to be true, is the same as the variable testing false for not equaling every other number and thus branching in that same direction, as such the same functionality is achieved with

Art Unit: 2134

the determination of a false condition raised wherein the underlying condition is actually true but is tested by a subjectively decided test.

Conclusion

- 15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is reminded that in amending in response to a rejection of claims, the patentable novelty must be clearly shown in view of the state of art disclosed by the references cited and the objections made. Applicant must show how the amendments avoid such references and objections. See 37 CFR 1.111(c).
- 16. Inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas M. Szymanski who can be reached at (571) 272-8574. The examiner's normal working schedule is between the hours 8:00am -4:30pm (EST), Monday – Friday.
- 17. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse, can be reached at (571) 272-3838. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 18. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). JL

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5

HOHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Art Unit: 2134

Page 6